

CARTIER ISOMORPHISM FOR TORIC VARIETIES

MANUEL BLICKLE

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Car57], Cartier introduces an operation on the de Rham complex for varieties defined over a field of positive characteristic. In the case of a smooth variety this yields a complete description of the cohomology of the de Rham complex. To formulate the result let X be a smooth variety over a perfect field then for all $a \geq 0$ the Cartier operator

$$C : \mathcal{H}^a(F_*\Omega_X^\bullet) \rightarrow \Omega_X^a$$

is an isomorphism. Here $F : X \rightarrow X$ denotes the Frobenius morphism on X and $\mathcal{H}^a$ denotes the a^{th} cohomology sheaf of $F_*\Omega_X^\bullet$. If the variety is not smooth, not much is known about the properties of the Cartier operator and the poor behaviour of the de Rham complex in this case makes its study difficult. If one substitutes the de Rham complex with the Zariski-de Rham complex the situation is better. For example, the Zariski differentials, though not locally free, are reflexive and there is a natural duality pairing between them.

We show how to extend the Cartier operator in a natural way to the Zariski differentials. Using a description of the Zariski-de Rham complex due to Danilov [Dan78] we show that this newly defined Cartier operator is an isomorphism for toric varieties. Moreover, it is induced by a split injection

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_X^a \longrightarrow F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^a.$$

As described in [BT97] such a result yields the Bott vanishing theorem and the degeneration of the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence for projective toric varieties.

Finally we will give an obstruction to the surjectivity of the Cartier map to show that in general it can not be an isomorphism.

The author would like to thank Karen Smith for invaluable discussions and the referee for a careful reading and valuable comments.

1.1. Notation and Generalities. Fix a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. All schemes will be noetherian k -schemes unless otherwise stated. X denotes a noetherian k -scheme. By an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module we always mean a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

Date: June 11, 2000.

Key words and phrases. Cartier operator, toric varieties.

To appear in Journal of Algebra.

Definition 1.1. The *absolute Frobenius* of X is the endomorphism

$$F_X : X \longrightarrow X$$

which is the identity on the level of the topological space and the p^{th} power map on the structure sheaf.

For $x \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\lambda \in k$ one has $F_X^*(\lambda x) = \lambda^p x^p = F_k(\lambda) F_X^*(x)$ where F_k is just the Frobenius morphism for k . Thus F_X is *not* a morphism of k -schemes unless $k = \mathbb{F}_p$. Setting $X' = X \times_{F_k} k$ we get by the universal property of the fiber product that F_X can be factored through X' .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & F_X & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ X & & & & X \\ & \searrow F & & \nearrow \pi_1 & \\ & X' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & k & \xrightarrow{F_k} & k & \end{array}$$

This map is called the relative Frobenius and we simply denote it by $F : X \rightarrow X'$. Note that as $\mathbb{F}_p$ -schemes X and X' are isomorphic. For an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{M}$ we denote the pushforward under F by $F_*\mathcal{M}$.

For every map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ of noetherian k -schemes we get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{F} & X' \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{F} & Y' \end{array}$$

This follows by construction of the relative Frobenius as the unique solution to a mapping problem and the fact that we have a square like this for the absolute Frobenius. Thus, in particular, the functor F_* commutes with ϕ_* .

For a k -algebra R we adopt the same notation: The (absolute) Frobenius $F_R : R \rightarrow R$ is just the p^{th} power map. Setting $R' = R \otimes_F k$ we get similarly a relative (k -linear) Frobenius map $F : R' \rightarrow R$. Again one sees that R and R' are isomorphic as rings, only their k -algebra structure is different. By F_*M we denote the R -module M viewed as an R' -module via the relative Frobenius.

1.2. The smooth case. In this section we will review the construction in the smooth case which we will extend later to the Zariski-de Rham complex on normal varieties.

The object of study is the algebraic de Rham complex $\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet = \Omega_X^\bullet$ (cf. [EV92]). The key observation is that the differential on $F_*\Omega_X^\bullet$ is $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -linear by the Leibniz rule: $dF^*(x \otimes 1) = dx^p = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_X$. This gives the cohomology objects of the de Rham complex $\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet)$ the structure of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules.

Proposition 1.2 (Cartier, [Car57]). *Let X be a noetherian k -scheme. There is a unique map of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules*

$$C^{-1} : \Omega_{X'}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(F_*\Omega_X^\bullet)$$

called the inverse Cartier operator such that for a local section $x \in \mathcal{O}_X$ one has

$$C^{-1}(d(x \otimes 1)) = x^{p-1}dx \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^1.$$

For all $a \geq 0$ this C^{-1} induces maps

$$\bigwedge^a C^{-1} : \Omega_{X'}^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^a(F_*\Omega_X^\bullet).$$

The following result completely describes the cohomology of the de Rham complex on a smooth k -scheme.

Theorem 1.3 (Cartier, [Car57], see also [Kat70]). *Let X be a smooth k -scheme. Then the inverse Cartier operator is an isomorphism for all $a \geq 0$. I.e.*

$$\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\Omega_X^\bullet) \cong \Omega_{X'}^a.$$

Remark 1.4. The most striking application of the Cartier isomorphism is as the main ingredient of Deligne and Illusie's algebraic proof of the Kodaira vanishing theorem. For X smooth and under an additional assumption (X lifts to characteristic p^2 and $p \geq \dim X$) they show in [DI87] that the inverse Cartier operator is induced by a map

$$\bigoplus \Omega_{X'}^a[-a] \rightarrow F_*\Omega_X^\bullet$$

in the derived category of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules. Taking hypercohomology one sees that this implies the degeneration of the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence $H^a(\Omega_X^b) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{a+b}(\Omega_X^\bullet)$. Such a result implies the Kodaira vanishing theorem as shown by Esnault and Viehweg in [EV86]. For details on this circle of ideas we refer the reader to the original article [DI87] and the excellent book [EV92].

1.3. Reflexive sheaves. In our approach to generalize the Cartier operator reflexive sheaves play a central role. Here we review some properties and for completeness most of the proofs are given, too.

Let X be a normal scheme and $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. We denote the dual of $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{M}^* = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. There is a natural map from $\mathcal{M}$ to its double dual $\mathcal{M}^{**}$ which sends a section $s \in \mathcal{M}$ to the map f_s whose value on the map $\lambda : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is $f_s(\lambda) = \lambda(s)$. The module $\mathcal{M}$ is called reflexive if the natural map to its double dual is an isomorphism. The module $\mathcal{M}^{**}$ is also called the reflexive hull of $\mathcal{M}$. It is reflexive [EG85] and universal with respect to this property: every map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{N}$ reflexive factors canonically through the natural map from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}^{**}$.

Recall the following local version of the adjointness of f^* and f_* for a map of schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of schemes, $\mathcal{F}$ an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and $\mathcal{G}$ an $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\Phi : f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^* \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{G}, f_* \mathcal{F}).$$

To see there is a globally defined map let ϕ_U for $U \subseteq Y$ be a local section of the left hand side. Composing the natural map $\mathcal{G}|_U \rightarrow f_* f^* \mathcal{G}|_U = (f_* f^* \mathcal{G})|_U$ with $f_*(\phi_U) : f_* f^* \mathcal{G}|_U \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{F}|_U$ we get the desired local section of the right hand side. Since no choices were made this defines the global map Φ . An inverse of Φ can be established similarly using the natural map $f^* f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 1.6. *Let X be a normal scheme and let $i : U \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion of an open set U such that $\text{codim}(X, X - U) \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a reflexive $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module then $i_* \mathcal{M}$ is the unique reflexive $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module which agrees with $\mathcal{M}$ on U .*

Proof. First we show that $i_* \mathcal{M}$ is reflexive by showing that i_* commutes with dualizing. For this we need the easily verifiable facts that for the given setting $i_* \mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $i^* i_* \mathcal{M} = (i_* \mathcal{M})|_U = \mathcal{M}$. Now use the local adjointness Lemma 1.5 in the following calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} (i_* \mathcal{M})^* &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(i_* \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(i_* \mathcal{M}, i_* \mathcal{O}_U) \\ &= i_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(i^* i_* \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_U) \\ &= i_* \mathcal{M}^* \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(i_* \mathcal{M})^{**} \cong i_* \mathcal{M}^{**} \cong i_* \mathcal{M}$ which shows that $i_* \mathcal{M}$ is reflexive.

For the uniqueness part let $\mathcal{N}$ be a reflexive $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module such that its restriction to U is $\mathcal{M}$. A similar application of the adjointness lemma shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^* &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O}_X) = i_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(i^* \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O}_U) \\ &= i_*(\mathcal{N}|_U)^* = i_* \mathcal{M}^* \end{aligned}$$

and dualizing this equality we get $\mathcal{N}^{**} \cong i_* \mathcal{M}^{**}$ which shows that $\mathcal{N} \cong i_* \mathcal{M}$ since both are reflexive. $\square$

Lemma 1.7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat morphism of noetherian normal schemes and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a reflexive $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Then $f^* \mathcal{M}$ is a reflexive $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.*

Proof. First we establish a natural map $f^* \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow (f^* \mathcal{M})^*$. Then we show by a local calculation that this is an isomorphism whenever f is flat. Thus dualizing commutes with f^* and therefore f^* preserves reflexivity.

For the first part notice that quite generally, for any, not necessarily flat, f and $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ we get:

$$f^* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{M}, f_* f^* \mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\cong} f^* f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^* \mathcal{M}, f^* \mathcal{N})$$

The first map is composition with the natural map $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow f_* f^* \mathcal{N}$ and the second map is the local adjointness Lemma 1.5. Composing this with the natural map $f^* f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^* \mathcal{M}, f^* \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^* \mathcal{M}, f^* \mathcal{N})$ gives the desired map.

To see that this is an isomorphism when f is flat we reduce to checking on stalks. Thus it remains to show that for a flat map of local noetherian rings $R \rightarrow S$ and finitely generated R -modules M and N

$$(*) \quad S \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R M, S \otimes_R N).$$

which sends $s \otimes f$ to the map $s' \otimes m \mapsto s's \otimes f(m)$ is an isomorphism (this, of course, is the same as the map above). The case $M = R$ is easily verified since both sides are canonically isomorphic to $S \otimes_R N$ and the above map is then the identity. Since tensor and Hom both commute with finite direct sums $(*)$ is an isomorphism for f.g. free R -modules. Now let $F \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a free presentation of M . Applying Hom and tensor (exact!) to this presentation in both orders we get a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes S & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_R(G, N) \otimes S & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_R(F, N) \otimes S \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_S(M \otimes S, N \otimes S) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_S(G \otimes S, N \otimes S) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_S(F \otimes S, N \otimes S) \end{array}$$

The vertical maps to the right are isomorphisms since F and G are free. Thus by the 5-Lemma the left map is an isomorphism, too. $\square$

Corollary 1.8. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be faithfully flat and $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is reflexive if and only if $f^*\mathcal{M}$ is reflexive.*

2. CARTIER OPERATOR FOR NORMAL SCHEMES

For schemes that are not smooth, the de Rham complex is not very well behaved and it seems convenient to consider the Zariski-de Rham complex instead. In order to define the sheaves of Zariski differentials we assume that the variety X is normal.

2.1. Zariski-de Rham complex.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a normal k -scheme and let

$$i : U \hookrightarrow X$$

be the inclusion of the smooth locus. The *Zariski-de Rham complex* is the pushforward of the de Rham complex on U and is denoted by $\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet = i_*\Omega_U^\bullet$.

The objects of the Zariski-de Rham complex are just $\tilde{\Omega}_X^a = i_*\Omega_U^a$ and are called the Zariski sheaves of differential a -forms. Normality of X guarantees that the Zariski sheaves are reflexive by Lemma 1.6. Equivalently, one can define $\tilde{\Omega}_X^a$ as the unique reflexive $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module which agrees with Ω_X^a on the smooth locus (i.e. $\tilde{\Omega}_X^a$ is the double dual or reflexive hull of Ω_X^a and the natural map $\Omega_X^a \rightarrow i_*i^*\Omega_X^a = \tilde{\Omega}_X^a$ is identified with the double dualizing map). With this in mind one can take any open smooth U with codimension $X - U$ greater than or equal to two in the above definition. The differential on $\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet$ is just the pushforward $i_*(d)$ of the ordinary differential on $\Omega_U^\bullet$. The Zariski sheaf of 1-forms $\tilde{\Omega}_X^1$ satisfies a universal property similar to the universal property for the sheaf of Kähler differentials.

Proposition 2.2. *Every derivation $\delta : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to a reflexive $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{M}$ factors uniquely through $i_*d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_X^1$.*

Proof. Observe that the derivation i_*d is the composition of the universal derivation $d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ with the double dualizing map $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_X^1$. By the universal properties of these maps the proposition is immediate. $\square$

Note that, if $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ is affine, the Zariski sheaf is the sheaf associated to the finitely generated R -module $\Gamma(X, \tilde{\Omega}_X^a) = \Gamma(U, \Omega_U^a)$. The Zariski-de Rham complex shares many of the good properties of the de Rham complex on a smooth variety. For example, if X is n -dimensional, the next proposition shows that the perfect pairing between Ω_U^a and Ω_U^{n-a} translates into a perfect pairing for the Zariski sheaves.

Proposition 2.3 (Danilov [Dan78]). *Let X be a n -dimensional normal k -scheme. There is a natural isomorphism $\tilde{\Omega}_X^a \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\tilde{\Omega}_X^{n-a}, \tilde{\Omega}_X^n)$.*

Proof. Applying i_* to the duality on the smooth locus and using the local version of the adjointness of i_* and i^* we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_X^a &= i_*\Omega_U^a = i_*\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\Omega_U^{n-a}, \Omega_U^n) = i_*\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(i^*i_*\Omega_U^{n-a}, \Omega_U^n) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(i_*\Omega_U^{n-a}, i_*\Omega_U^n) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\tilde{\Omega}_X^{n-a}, \tilde{\Omega}_X^n) \end{aligned}$$

2.1.1. *Stability under étale morphisms.* A morphism of sheaves $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is called *étale* if it is flat and unramified. Just as the sheaves of Kähler differentials are preserved under étale maps so are the Zariski sheaves.

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be normal and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ étale. Then*

$$f^*\tilde{\Omega}_X^a \cong \tilde{\Omega}_Y^a.$$

Proof. Note that by [Mil80, Prop. 3.17(b)] Y is normal thus the Zariski sheaves are defined on Y . Now $f^*\tilde{\Omega}_X^a = f^*(\Omega_X^{a**}) = (f^*\Omega_X^a)^{**} = \tilde{\Omega}_Y^a$ using Lemma 1.7 and $f^*\Omega_X^a \cong \Omega_Y^a$ by étaleness of f . $\square$

Another important observation is that the Frobenius commutes with étale pull back, i.e. $F_*f^*\mathcal{M} \cong f^*F_*\mathcal{M}$ for all $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X and Y be k -varieties and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ an étale morphism. Then*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{F} & Y' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{F} & X' \end{array}$$

is a fiber square, i.e. $Y \cong Y' \times_{X'} X$.

Proof. First assume that f is finite and étale. Then by the above diagram and the universal property of the fiber product we have a natural map $Y \rightarrow Y' \times_{X'} X$. To check this map is an isomorphism one reduces to the case where X (and therefore Y)

is affine. Since $Y' \times_{X'} X \cong Y \times_{F_X} X$ one further reduces to the case of the absolute Frobenius. Thus it remains to show that for a finite étale morphism of k -algebras $R \rightarrow S$ we have $(F_S)_* S \cong S \otimes_{F_R} (F_R)_* R$. This is done in [HH90, page 50].

In general an étale map can be factored into a finite étale map followed by an open immersion: $f : Y \rightarrow U \rightarrow X$. It remains to check the statement for the open immersion $U \rightarrow X$. As before one reduces to the case of the absolute Frobenius. As topological spaces $U \times_{F_X} X$ and U are the same and a simple local calculation shows that they are isomorphic as k -schemes. $\square$

With this at hand we can apply [Har73, Proposition III.9.3] and get:

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ étale. Then $f^* F_* \mathcal{M} \cong F_* f^* \mathcal{M}$.*

Since similar in spirit to the above and used later we note here a Lemma which shows that F_* commutes with completion. For this we consider the local case.

Lemma 2.7. *Let (R, m) be a local k -algebra, essentially of finite type over k . Then $\hat{R} \cong R \otimes_{R'} \hat{R}'$. Here, $\hat{R}$ denotes the m -adic completion of R .*

Proof. As in the previous Lemma $R \otimes_{R'} \hat{R}' \cong R \otimes_{F_R} \hat{R}$ and we reduce to the case of the absolute Frobenius. Now it follows from the definition of completion:

$$R \otimes_{F_R} \hat{R} = R \otimes_{F_R} \varprojlim \frac{R}{m^i} \cong \varprojlim \frac{R}{R \otimes_{F_R} m^i} = \varprojlim \frac{R}{(m^i)^{[p]} R} = \hat{R}$$

In the last equality we used that the sequence $(m^i)^{[p]}$ is cofinal with m^i and therefore they both have the same limit. $\square$

An easy calculation with the equation of the last Lemma shows that for any R -module M F_* commutes with completion, i.e. $F_* \hat{M} \cong \hat{R}' \otimes_{R'} F_* M$.

2.2. Cartier operator on Zariski differentials. The Cartier isomorphism on the smooth scheme U' gives after applying i_* an isomorphism

$$i_* C^{-1} : \tilde{\Omega}_{X'}^a \xrightarrow{\cong} i_* \mathcal{H}^a(F_* \Omega_U^\bullet).$$

To obtain an analog of the Cartier operator for the Zariski sheaves it remains to construct natural maps

$$\phi_a : \mathcal{H}^a(F_* \tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet) \longrightarrow i_* \mathcal{H}^a(F_* \Omega_U^\bullet)$$

for all $a \geq 0$. This is obtained with the help of the following general Lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ be a complex in an abelian category and G a left exact functor of abelian categories. One has natural maps*

$$\phi_a : \mathcal{H}^a(G \mathcal{A}^\bullet) \rightarrow G \mathcal{H}^a(\mathcal{A}^\bullet)$$

for all $a \geq 0$.

Proof. To see this denote by $\mathcal{Z}^a, \mathcal{B}^a$ the cycles and boundaries of $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ (resp. $\mathcal{Z}_G^a, \mathcal{B}_G^a$ for $G\mathcal{A}^\bullet$). By the left exactness of G we get $\mathcal{Z}_G^a \cong G\mathcal{Z}^a$. Applying G to the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^a \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^a \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{a+1} \rightarrow 0$ and arranging with the equivalent sequence for $G\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}_G^a & \longrightarrow & G\mathcal{A}^a & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_G^{a+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G\mathcal{Z}^a & \longrightarrow & G\mathcal{A}^a & \longrightarrow & G\mathcal{B}^{a+1} \end{array}$$

we see that (Snake Lemma) the vertical arrow to the right is an injection. Now, using the equivalent diagram for the short exact sequence defining cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_G^a & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}_G^a & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^a(G\mathcal{A}^\bullet) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \phi_a \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G\mathcal{B}^a & \longrightarrow & G\mathcal{Z}^a & \longrightarrow & G\mathcal{H}^a(\mathcal{A}^\bullet) \end{array}$$

together with the Snake Lemma gives the dotted vertical arrow to the right. Furthermore, ϕ_a is injective if and only if $\mathcal{B}_G^a \rightarrow G\mathcal{B}^a$ is an isomorphism and surjective if and only if the map $G\mathcal{Z}^a \rightarrow G\mathcal{H}^a(\mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ is surjective. $\square$

Since i_* is left exact we can apply this construction to the complex $F_*\Omega_U^\bullet$ and therefore obtain natural maps $\phi_a : \mathcal{H}^a(i_*F_*\Omega_U^\bullet) \rightarrow i_*\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\Omega_U^\bullet)$ for all $a \geq 0$. Since F_* commutes with i_* the first term is just $\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)$

By the Cartier isomorphism on the smooth locus $\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\Omega_U^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to $\Omega_{U'}^a$, which is a locally free and therefore reflexive $\mathcal{O}_{U'}$ -module. By Lemma 1.6 $i_*\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\Omega_U^\bullet)$ is a reflexive $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module. Thus, by the universal property of the reflexive hull, the map ϕ_a factors through the natural map from $\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)$ to its double dual:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\phi_a} & i_*\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\Omega_U^\bullet) \\ \downarrow (**) & \nearrow \cong & \\ \mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)^{**} & & \end{array}$$

By the uniqueness part of Lemma 1.6 the diagonal map has to be an isomorphism since ϕ_a is an isomorphism on U' . If we use this natural isomorphism to identify $i_*\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\Omega_U^\bullet)$ with the double dual of $\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)$ the map ϕ_a becomes nothing but double dualizing. Composing ϕ_a with the inverse of i_*C^{-1} we get:

Proposition 2.9. *For all $a \geq 0$ the Cartier isomorphism on U induces a natural $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -linear map*

$$\tilde{C} : \mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet) \longrightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{X'}^a.$$

By construction, $\tilde{C}$ is an isomorphism if and only if the map ϕ_a is. This in turn is the case if and only if $\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)$ is reflexive. This observation is key for the proof of the next Proposition.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ étale. If the Cartier operator $\tilde{C}_X$ on X is an isomorphism then so is $\tilde{C}_Y$ on Y . If in addition f is finite, then the reverse implication also holds.*

Proof. With the above observation we only have to show that if $\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)$ is reflexive then so is $\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_Y^\bullet)$. Since an étale map is flat we can apply Lemma 1.7 to see that the reflexivity of $\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)$ implies that $f^*\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)$ is reflexive. By flatness f^* commutes with taking cohomology and thus

$$f^*\mathcal{H}^a(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet) = \mathcal{H}^a(f^*F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet).$$

By Corollary 2.6 $f^*F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^a = F_*f^*\tilde{\Omega}_X^a$ which by 2.4 is just $F_*\tilde{\Omega}_Y^a$.

If f is also finite then it is faithfully flat and an application of Corollary 1.8 yields the reverse implication. $\square$

3. CARTIER ISOMORPHISM FOR TORIC VARIETIES

In this section we give the proof that the Cartier map is an isomorphism for affine toric varieties over k . The main ingredient is a description of the Zariski-de Rham complex due to Danilov [Dan78]. An interesting aspect of the given proof is that it is analogous to Danilov's proof of a Poincaré type lemma for toric varieties which says that the Zariski-de Rham complex is a resolution of the constant sheaf k . Thus, as in the smooth case, the Cartier isomorphism can be viewed as a characteristic p analog of the Poincaré lemma, both determining the cohomology of the de Rham complex. Furthermore, using the results of the previous section on the stability of the Cartier isomorphism under étale morphisms we conclude that also for toroidal varieties the Cartier operator is an isomorphism.

3.1. Affine toric varieties. First we recall some notation from toric geometry, for a more detailed introduction to toric geometry see [Ful93] or [Dan78]. Let $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a lattice, $M = N^*$ the dual lattice and we denote $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ by $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The identification of N with $\mathbb{Z}^n$ allows us to consider the elements of N as n -tuples of integers, similarly for M .

By a cone in N we mean a subset $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the form $\sigma = \{r_1v_1 + \dots + r_sv_s \mid r_i \geq 0\}$ for some v_i in N . The dual cone of σ in M is $\sigma^\vee = \{u \in M_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid (u, v) \geq 0, \text{ for all } v \in \sigma\}$ where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is induced from the natural pairing between the dual lattices M and N . A face of σ is any set $\sigma \cap u^\perp$ for some $u \in \sigma^\vee$ and is a cone in N . For cones τ and τ' in $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ $(\tau - \tau')$ denotes the set of all $t - t'$ for $t \in \tau$ and $t' \in \tau'$.

Let $\sigma^\vee$ be a cone in $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let k be a perfect field and let $A = k[\sigma^\vee \cap M]$ be the affine semigroup ring corresponding to σ , i.e. A is the k -subalgebra of $k[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ generated by the monomials $x^m = x_1^{m_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{m_n}$ for $m \in \sigma^\vee$. $X = X_\sigma = \text{Spec}(A)$ denotes the corresponding affine toric variety. Note that A carries a natural M -grading where the generator x^m corresponding to $m \in \sigma^\vee \subseteq M$ of A is given degree m . As is well known X is normal [Ful93] and we have the notion of Zariski differentials defined above. As before we denote by U its smooth locus.

Since X is affine $\tilde{\Omega}_X^a$ is the sheaf associated to some A -module, which we will call $\tilde{\Omega}_A^a$.

3.1.1. *Danilov's description.* There is a nice description of the modules $\tilde{\Omega}_A^a$ due to Danilov [Dan78] which we will give next.

Let V denote the vector space $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$. For each codimension one face τ of $\sigma^\vee$ define a subspace $V_\tau \subset V$.

$$V_\tau = (M \cap (\tau - \tau)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$$

For every $m \in \sigma^\vee$ we define a subspace $V_m \subseteq V$:

$$V_m = \bigcap_{\tau \ni m} V_\tau$$

where τ is ranging over the codimension one faces of $\sigma^\vee$ containing m .

Proposition 3.1. *$\tilde{\Omega}_A^a$ is isomorphic to the graded A -submodule V_σ^a of the M -graded A -module $\bigwedge^a V \otimes_k A$ with degree $m \in M$ piece*

$$V_\sigma^a(m) = \bigwedge^a V_m \cdot x^m.$$

The isomorphism is given by the following degree preserving map of graded A -modules

$$\begin{aligned} f_a : \quad V_\sigma^a &\longrightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_A^a \\ (m_1 \otimes 1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_a \otimes 1) \cdot x^m &\longmapsto x^{m-m_1-\dots-m_a} dx^{m_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{m_a} \end{aligned}$$

For the proof see [Dan78, p. 110]. With this identification of $\tilde{\Omega}_A^a$ with V_σ^a the differential $d : \tilde{\Omega}_A^a \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_A^{a+1}$ on the piece of degree m is given by wedging with $m \otimes 1$. Thus the degree m part of the complex $\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet$ is just

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V_m \xrightarrow{m \otimes 1} \bigwedge^1 V_m \xrightarrow{m \otimes 1 \wedge} \bigwedge^2 V_m \xrightarrow{m \otimes 1 \wedge} \dots$$

The key observation is that this complex is exact whenever $m \otimes 1$ is a nonzero element of the vector space V_m .

If the characteristic of k is zero this is the case if and only if $m \neq 0$. Thus the only degree on which the complex is not exact is degree $0 \in M$. Assuming that the cone $\sigma^\vee$ does not contain any linear subspace we see that $V_0 = 0$ and therefore in degree zero we just get $\bigwedge^0 V_0 = k$. This was Danilov's proof of the Poincaré lemma for the Zariski-de Rham complex on an affine toric variety:

Poincaré Lemma ([Dan78]). *If the characteristic of k is zero and $\sigma^\vee$ is a cone with vertex (i.e. $\sigma^\vee$ does not contain a linear subspace) then*

$$0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_A^\bullet$$

is exact, i.e. $\tilde{\Omega}_A^\bullet$ is a resolution of k .

Back to the case when the characteristic of k is greater than zero. Then we get the following analog of 1.3 for affine toric varieties.

Theorem 3.2. *If k has characteristic $p > 0$ then the map*

$$\phi : \bigoplus \tilde{\Omega}_{A'}^a[-a] \longrightarrow F_* \tilde{\Omega}_A^\bullet$$

sending $(m' \otimes 1) \cdot x^m$ to $(m' \otimes 1) \cdot x^{pm}$ is a split injection and induces an isomorphism $C^{-1} : \tilde{\Omega}_{A'}^a \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^a(F_ \tilde{\Omega}_A^\bullet)$.*

Proof. As already in the statement of this Theorem we make use of the identification in 3.1 without further mentioning.

By definition ϕ is additive. Noting that $A' = A \otimes_{F_k} k$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{A'}^a = \tilde{\Omega}_A^a \otimes_{F_k} k$ it is easily checked that ϕ is also A' -linear. Since $m \otimes 1 = 0$ if and only if $m \in pM$ we see that the cohomology lives exclusively in degrees pm for $m \in M$; in these degrees the differential (wedging with $pm \otimes 1 = 0$) is the zero map. ϕ maps the graded piece of degree m isomorphically to the graded piece of degree pm . Thus the image of ϕ is exactly the graded pieces of degree pm for $m \in M$ which is the cohomology of $F_* \tilde{\Omega}_A^\bullet$. Thus $\mathcal{H}^a(F_* \tilde{\Omega}_A^\bullet)$ is isomorphic to the graded A' -submodule of degree pM pieces of $F_* \tilde{\Omega}_A^a$ and therefore ϕ is also split. Using 3.1 one easily verifies that $d \circ \phi = 0$, i.e. ϕ is a map of complexes.

It remains to show that the above defined map actually is an inverse of the Cartier operator. Using the description of 3.1 we see that for all $m \in \sigma^\vee$ $\phi(dx^m \otimes 1) = x^{(p-1)m} dx^m$ which is just the defining property of the inverse Cartier map from which $\tilde{C}$ was constructed. $\square$

Since checking that the Cartier operator $\tilde{C}$ is an isomorphism is a local matter we get as an immediate Corollary:

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a toric variety over a perfect field k . Then the Cartier operator*

$$\tilde{C} : \mathcal{H}^a(F_* \tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet) \longrightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{X'}^a$$

is an isomorphism.

Theorem 3.2 for *affine* toric varieties is much stronger than what we just established for the toric case. Not only is the Cartier map an isomorphism but also it is induced by a split injection $\tilde{\Omega}_{X'}^a \rightarrow F_* \tilde{\Omega}_X^a$. This stronger statement can still be achieved for toric varieties in general (not necessarily affine). The key point is that one has to ensure that the map ϕ defined locally on the affine toric pieces as above patches to give a global map of sheaves.

For simplicity of notation we assume in the following discussion that $k = \mathbb{F}_p$. Notice that the description of $\tilde{\Omega}_X^a$ in Lemma 3.1 localizes. I.e. if τ is a face of σ then X_τ is an open subset of X_σ and we have an inclusion $\tilde{\Omega}_{X_\sigma}^a \subseteq \tilde{\Omega}_{X_\tau}^a$. This corresponds to the inclusion $V_\sigma^a \subseteq V_\tau^a$. The reason for this is that the map $V_\sigma^a \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{X_\sigma}^a$ is induced from the map $\bigwedge^a V \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{T_N}^a$ for *any* cone σ in N , here T_N is the n -dimensional torus.

Now let X be the toric variety associated to a fan Σ in N (cf. [Ful93]). The torus T_N is an open subset of X and also of each affine toric piece X_σ of X for $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Thus $\tilde{\Omega}_{X_\sigma}^a \subseteq \tilde{\Omega}_{T_N}^a$ and the map $\phi_\sigma : \tilde{\Omega}_{X_\sigma}^a \rightarrow F_* \tilde{\Omega}_{X_\sigma}^a$ is induced from the corresponding map for the torus T_N (T_N is the toric variety associated the cone $\{0\} \subseteq N$). This shows that the maps ϕ_σ agree on the intersections of their domains and thus give rise to a globally defined map ϕ as required. We just proved (well, sketched a proof of) the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a toric variety over a perfect field k . Then the Cartier operator is an isomorphism and is induced by a split injection*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_a \tilde{\Omega}_{X'}^a[-a] \rightarrow F_* \tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet.$$

The existence of a split injection inducing the Cartier operator was already shown by Buch, Thomsen, Lauritzen and Mehta in [BT97] using the existence of natural liftings of characteristic p toric varieties to toric varieties over $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$, along with liftings of Frobenius. Their methods though do not yield any information on whether the Cartier operator is an isomorphism, but are sufficient to imply Bott vanishing and the degeneration of the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence for projective toric varieties.

3.2. Toroidal varieties. With the help of Proposition 2.10 we can extend the results from the previous section to a important class of varieties: toroidal embeddings.

Definition 3.5. A normal scheme X locally of finite type over a field k will be called *weakly toroidal* if for each point $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood $U(x)$ of x and a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y(x) & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ Z(x) & & U(x) \end{array}$$

where $Z(x)$ is an affine toric variety, f is étale and g is finite and étale.

This class of varieties includes the class of toroidal embeddings as defined in [TE73]. We have the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be weakly toroidal. Then the Cartier operator $\tilde{C}$ on X is an isomorphism.*

Proof. To see that the Cartier operator on X is an isomorphism is a local issue so we can assume that there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ f \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ Z & & X \end{array}$$

with Z affine toric, both maps étale and g also finite. On the toric variety Z the Cartier operator is an isomorphism and thus by 2.10 it is an isomorphism on Y , and again by 2.10, it is an isomorphism on X . $\square$

4. AN OBSTRUCTION TO SURJECTIVITY

The last section shows that the Cartier operator is an isomorphism in the case of toroidal embeddings and it is natural to ask what is the exact class of varieties for which the Cartier operator is an isomorphism. It seems too much to hope that it would be an isomorphism for all normal varieties. And in fact there is a necessary and sufficient condition for $\tilde{C}$ to be a surjection at the top level, i.e. for $\tilde{C} : \mathcal{H}^d(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet) \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_X^d$ where d is the dimension of X .

To simplify the notation we assume $k = \mathbb{F}_p$. Let (R, m) be a normal Cohen-Macaulay local domain of dimension d , essentially of finite type over k . Then R is called F -injective if the Frobenius $F : R \rightarrow F_*R$ induces an injection on local cohomology $H_m^d(R) \rightarrow H_m^d(F_*R)$. To relate this to the Cartier operator on $X = \text{Spec } R$ we denote the global sections of $\tilde{\Omega}_X^d$ by ω_R which by normality is a canonical module for R . Since the Cartier operator $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^d(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)) \rightarrow \omega_R$ is surjective if and only if its composition with the natural surjection $F_*\omega_R \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}^d(F_*\tilde{\Omega}_X^\bullet)) \rightarrow \omega_R$ is surjective we consider now the map $C : F_*\omega_R \rightarrow \omega_R$ to which we also refer to as the Cartier map. This map is surjective iff its m -adic completion $\hat{C} : \hat{R} \otimes F_*\omega_R \rightarrow \hat{R} \otimes \omega_R$ is a surjective map of $\hat{R}$ -modules by the faithfully flatness of completion. By the remark after Lemma 2.7 F_* commutes with completion and by [BH98, 3.3.5] $\hat{R} \otimes \omega_R$ is a canonical module for $\hat{R}$. Thus $\hat{R} \otimes \omega_R \cong \omega_{\hat{R}}$ and $\hat{R} \otimes F_*\omega_R \cong F_*\omega_{\hat{R}}$. Let E denote the injective hull of the residue field of $\hat{R}$ and take the Matlis dual of the completed Cartier map $\hat{C}$ to get

$$\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\omega_{\hat{R}}, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(F_*\omega_{\hat{R}}, E).$$

Note that, since F is a finite map $F_*\omega_{\hat{R}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(F_*\hat{R}, \omega_{\hat{R}})$ (cf. [BH98, 3.3.7]). This together with the local duality theorem [BH98, 3.5.8] shows that the last map is just

$$H_m^d(\hat{R}) \rightarrow H_m^d(F_*\hat{R})$$

where we can leave out the completion and get the map induced by the Frobenius $F : H_m^d(R) \rightarrow H_m^d(F_*R)$. Summarizing we get the following Proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (R, m) be a local Cohen-Macaulay domain essentially of finite type over k . Then the Cartier map $C : F_*\omega_R \rightarrow \omega_R$ is surjective if and only if R is F -injective.*

One implication of this Proposition was already noticed by Mehta and Shrinivas in [MS97] where they use the Cartier operator on the top spot to obtain information about the singularities of the variety.

REFERENCES

- [BH98] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1998.
- [BT97] Anders Buch, Jesper F. Thomsen, Niels Lauritzen, and Vikram Mehta. The Frobenius morphism on a toric variety. *Tōhoku Math. Journal*, 49:355–366, 1997.
- [Car57] P. Cartier. Une nouvelle opération sur les formes différentielles. *C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris*, 244:426–428, 1957.
- [Dan78] V. I. Danilov. The geometry of toric varieties. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 33:97–154, 1978.
- [DI87] Pierre Deligne and Luc Illusie. Relèvements modulo p^2 et décomposition du complexe de de Rham. *Inventiones Mathematica*, 89:247–270, 1987.
- [EG85] E. Graham Evans and Phillip Griffith. *Syzgies*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1985.
- [EV86] Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg. Logarithmic de Rham complexes and vanishing theorems. *Inventiones math.*, 86:161–194, 1986.
- [EV92] Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg. *Lectures on Vanishing Theorems*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
- [Ful93] William Fulton. *Introduction to Toric Varieties*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993.
- [Har73] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, New York, 1973.
- [HH90] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke. Tight closure, invariant theory and the Briançon-Skoda theorem. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 3:31–116, 1990.
- [Kat70] Nicholas M. Katz. Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications of a result of Turrittin. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci.*, 39:175–232, 1970.
- [TE73] G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat. *Toroidal Embeddings*. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [Mil80] J.S. Milne. *Étale Cohomology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1980.
- [MS97] V.B. Mehta and V. Srinivas. A characterization of rational singularities. *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 1(2):249–271, 1997.

UNIV. OF MICHIGAN, 525 EAST UNIVERSITY AVENUE, ANN ARBOR, MI-48109

E-mail address: blickle@math.lsa.umich.edu